





B. THOMAS GOLISANO College of COMPUTING AND INFORMATION SCIENCES

# Joint Inference for Neural Network Depth and Dropout Regularization

Kishan K C<sup>1</sup>, Rui Li<sup>1</sup>, Mahdi Gilany<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Rochester Institute of Technology <sup>2</sup> Queens University

35<sup>th</sup> Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS 2021)

## Motivation

- Pre-determined backbone structures are the key
- Deep networks tend to be
  - Overfitted
  - Poorly calibrated with high confidence on incorrect predictions (Nguyen et al. 2015, Antorán et al. 2020)
- Current solutions
  - Dropout and its variants (Srivastava et al. 2014, Gal et al. 2017, Lee et al. 2019)
  - Structure selection methods (Srinivas et al. 2016, Dikov et al. 2019, Antorán et al. 2020)
- However,
  - Cannot scale the network beyond the pre-determined structure
  - Cannot achieve a balance between network depth and dropout regularization for uncertainty calibration

# Our proposed solution

- Model the depth (number of hidden layers) as a Beta Process
- Modulate neuron activations with a conjugate Bernoulli Process
- Joint inference of network depth and neuron activations



#### Beta-Bernoulli process over network structures

- Model the depth of a neural network as a Beta Process
  - Stick breaking construction of beta-Bernoulli Process (Paisley et al. 2010, Broderick et al. 2012)

$$v_l \sim \text{Beta}(\alpha, \beta), \qquad \pi_l = \prod_{j=1}^l v_j, \qquad z_{ml} \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\pi_l)$$

• The prior over the network structures **Z**:

$$p(\mathbf{Z}, \boldsymbol{\nu} | \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = p(\boldsymbol{\nu} | \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) p(\mathbf{Z} | \boldsymbol{\nu}) = \prod_{l=1}^{\infty} \text{Beta}(\nu_l | \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) \prod_{m=1}^{M} \text{Bernoulli}(z_{ml} | \pi_l)$$



#### Network structure with infinite layers

• A neural network has the form



$$h_l = \sigma(\mathbf{W}_l \mathbf{h}_{l-1}) \otimes \mathbf{z}_l + \mathbf{h}_{l-1} \qquad l \in \{1, 2, \dots, \infty\}$$

• A Gaussian likelihood of the neural network for regression task

$$p(D|\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{W}) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{y}_n | f(\mathbf{x}_n; \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{W}), s^2 \mathbf{I})$$

# Efficient inference

• The marginal Likelihood over network structures  ${f Z}$  is

$$p(D|\mathbf{W}, L, \alpha, \beta) = \int p(D|\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{W}) p(\mathbf{Z}, \boldsymbol{\nu}|\alpha, \beta) d\mathbf{Z} d\boldsymbol{\nu}$$

Approximation with structured stochastic variational inference (Hoffman et al. 2013, 2015)

 $\log p(\mathsf{D}|\mathsf{W}, L, \alpha, \beta) \geq \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{Z}, \boldsymbol{\nu})} \left[\log p(D|\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{W})\right] - \mathrm{KL}[q(\mathbf{Z}|\boldsymbol{\nu})||p(\mathbf{Z}|\boldsymbol{\nu})] - \mathrm{KL}[q(\boldsymbol{\nu})||p(\boldsymbol{\nu})]$ 

- We use truncation level *K* for the variational distribution
- Reparameterization of Beta and Bernoulli distribution (Jang et al. 2017, Maddison et al. 2017, Jankowiak et al. 2018)
- We prove that optimizing ELBO is equivalent to Bayesian Information Criterion over the structure Z

## Performance evaluation on synthetic data



 If the training data size or its complexity increases, network structure grows to accommodate more information.

## Performance comparison on UCI datasets



• Our method achieves the overall highest rank for both uncertainty calibration and prediction accuracy.

# Effect of truncation level K



- The truncation level (K) of our method does not affect the performance.
- The depth (*L*) of other methods significantly affects the performance and should be set carefully.

# Effect of maximum width M



- With smaller width *M*, our method results in deeper network structures to compensate for the relatively narrow layers.
- As *M* increases, the structures become shallower.

# Case study on Continual learning



• Our method alleviates catastrophic forgetting by enabling network depth to dynamically augment to accommodate incrementally available information.

#### Conclusion

- General joint inference framework applicable for various neural networks
- Experimental results on MLPs and CNNs show that our method achieves superior performance by adapting network depth and neuron activations
- Our model can accommodate incrementally available information by enabling neural network structures to dynamically evolve

#### Thank you!