Disentangling Identifiable Features from Noisy Data with Structured Nonlinear ICA

Hermanni Hälvä¹ Sylvain Le Corff² Luc Lehéricy³ Jonathan So⁴ Yongjie Zhu¹ Elisabeth Gassiat⁵ Aapo Hyvärinen¹

¹ Department of Computer Science, University of Helsinki
²Samovar, Télécom SudParis, Institut Polytechnique de Paris
³Laboratoire J. A. Dieudonné, Université Côte d'Azur
⁴Department of Engineering, University of Cambridge
⁵Laboratoire de mathématiques d'Orsay, Université Paris-Saclay

NeurIPS 2021

Common assumption in unsupervised representation learning: low-dimensional latent variables generate observed data

- Common assumption in unsupervised representation learning: low-dimensional latent variables generate observed data
- Knowledge of *true* latent variables would be useful in many tasks: classification, transfer learning, causal inference etc.

- Common assumption in unsupervised representation learning: low-dimensional latent variables generate observed data
- Knowledge of *true* latent variables would be useful in many tasks: classification, transfer learning, causal inference etc.
- Popular unsupervised approach: learn *disentangled* representation

- Common assumption in unsupervised representation learning: low-dimensional latent variables generate observed data
- Knowledge of *true* latent variables would be useful in many tasks: classification, transfer learning, causal inference etc.
- Popular unsupervised approach: learn *disentangled* representation
- Problem: models used usually unidentifiable (e.g. β -VAE)

- Common assumption in unsupervised representation learning: low-dimensional latent variables generate observed data
- Knowledge of *true* latent variables would be useful in many tasks: classification, transfer learning, causal inference etc.
- Popular unsupervised approach: learn *disentangled* representation
- Problem: models used usually unidentifiable (e.g. β -VAE)
- Thus can't recover *true* data generating features

- Common assumption in unsupervised representation learning: low-dimensional latent variables generate observed data
- Knowledge of *true* latent variables would be useful in many tasks: classification, transfer learning, causal inference etc.
- Popular unsupervised approach: learn *disentangled* representation
- Problem: models used usually unidentifiable (e.g. β -VAE)
- Thus can't recover *true* data generating features
- Our paper: general identifiable framework for principled disentanglement Structured Nonlinear ICA

Identifiability

$$p_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}) = p_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}(\mathbf{x}) \implies \boldsymbol{\theta} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \ \forall (\boldsymbol{\theta}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$$

• Deep generative models: $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s})$

Identifiability

$$p_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}) = p_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}(\mathbf{x}) \implies \boldsymbol{\theta} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \ \forall (\boldsymbol{\theta}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$$

Deep generative models: $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s})$

• ..are unidentifiable with factorial prior: $p(\mathbf{s}) = \prod_{i=1}^{M} p(s_i)$ (Hyvärinen and Pajunen, 1999; Khemakhem et al., 2020; Locatello et al., 2019)

Identifiability

$$p_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}) = p_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}(\mathbf{x}) \implies \boldsymbol{\theta} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \ \forall (\boldsymbol{\theta}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$$

Deep generative models: $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s})$

...are unidentifiable with factorial prior: $p(\mathbf{s}) = \prod_{i=1}^{M} p(s_i)$ (Hyvärinen and Pajunen, 1999; Khemakhem et al., 2020; Locatello et al., 2019)

Thus basic VAEs, GANs, Nonlinear ICA etc. are unidentifiable:



Identifiability

$$p_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}) = p_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}(\mathbf{x}) \implies \boldsymbol{\theta} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \ \forall (\boldsymbol{\theta}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$$

Deep generative models: $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s})$

• ...are unidentifiable with factorial prior: $p(\mathbf{s}) = \prod_{i=1}^{M} p(s_i)$ (Hyvärinen and Pajunen, 1999; Khemakhem et al., 2020; Locatello et al., 2019)

Thus basic VAEs, GANs, Nonlinear ICA etc. are unidentifiable:

Identifiability problem

$$p_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x}) = p_{\hat{\mathbf{f}}}(\mathbf{x}) \implies \mathbf{f} = \hat{\mathbf{f}}$$



• Deep generative models: $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s})$

• Deep generative models: $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s})$

Adding structure can recover identifiability:

• Deep generative models: $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s})$

Adding structure can recover identifiability:

• $p(\mathbf{s}) = \prod_{i=1}^{M} p(s_i)$ (unidentifiable)

• Deep generative models: $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s})$

Adding structure can recover identifiability:

•
$$p(\mathbf{s}) = \prod_{i=1}^{M} p(s_i)$$
 (unidentifiable)

• $p(\mathbf{s}|u) = \prod_{i=1}^{M} p(s_i \mid u)$ (possibly identifiable)

• Deep generative models: $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s})$

Adding structure can recover identifiability:

•
$$p(\mathbf{s}) = \prod_{i=1}^{M} p(s_i)$$
 (unidentifiable)

• $p(\mathbf{s}|u) = \prod_{i=1}^{M} p(s_i \mid u)$ (possibly identifiable)

• *u* is *observed* auxiliary variable capturing data structure:

• Deep generative models: $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s})$

Adding structure can recover identifiability:

- $p(\mathbf{s}) = \prod_{i=1}^{M} p(s_i)$ (unidentifiable)
- $p(\mathbf{s}|u) = \prod_{i=1}^{M} p(s_i \mid u)$ (possibly identifiable)

• *u* is *observed* auxiliary variable capturing data structure:

Nonstationarity time-series: $u_t = i, i \in \{1, ..., K\}$ indexes non-stationary regions (Hyvärinen and Morioka, 2016)

• Deep generative models: $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s})$

Adding structure can recover identifiability:

- $p(\mathbf{s}) = \prod_{i=1}^{M} p(s_i)$ (unidentifiable)
- $p(\mathbf{s}|u) = \prod_{i=1}^{M} p(s_i \mid u)$ (possibly identifiable)

• *u* is *observed* auxiliary variable capturing data structure:

- Nonstationarity time-series: u_t = i, i ∈ {1,..,K} indexes non-stationary regions (Hyvärinen and Morioka, 2016)
- Autocorrelated time-series: $u_t = \mathbf{x}_{t-1}$ (Hyvärinen and Morioka, 2017)

- Deep generative models: $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s})$
- Adding structure can recover identifiability:
 - $p(\mathbf{s}) = \prod_{i=1}^{M} p(s_i)$ (unidentifiable)
 - $p(\mathbf{s}|u) = \prod_{i=1}^{M} p(s_i \mid u)$ (possibly identifiable)
- *u* is *observed* auxiliary variable capturing data structure:
 - Nonstationarity time-series: u_t = i, i ∈ {1,..,K} indexes non-stationary regions (Hyvärinen and Morioka, 2016)
 - Autocorrelated time-series: $u_t = \mathbf{x}_{t-1}$ (Hyvärinen and Morioka, 2017)
 - ...or some other observed conditioning variable (class label etc.) Hyvärinen et al. (2019); Khemakhem et al. (2020)

• Deep generative models: $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s})$

Adding structure can recover identifiability:

• $p(\mathbf{s}) = \prod_{i=1}^{M} p(s_i)$ (unidentifiable)

• $p(\mathbf{s}|u) = \prod_{i=1}^{M} p(s_i \mid u)$ (possibly identifiable)

• *u* is *observed* auxiliary variable capturing data structure:

- Nonstationarity time-series: u_t = i, i ∈ {1,..,K} indexes non-stationary regions (Hyvärinen and Morioka, 2016)
- Autocorrelated time-series: $u_t = \mathbf{x}_{t-1}$ (Hyvärinen and Morioka, 2017)
- ...or some other observed conditioning variable (class label etc.) Hyvärinen et al. (2019); Khemakhem et al. (2020)
- Recently, structure can also be latent (unsupervised):

- Deep generative models: $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s})$
- Adding structure can recover identifiability:
 - $p(\mathbf{s}) = \prod_{i=1}^{M} p(s_i)$ (unidentifiable)
 - $p(\mathbf{s}|u) = \prod_{i=1}^{M} p(s_i \mid u)$ (possibly identifiable)
- *u* is *observed* auxiliary variable capturing data structure:
 - Nonstationarity time-series: $u_t = i, i \in \{1, ..., K\}$ indexes non-stationary regions (Hyvärinen and Morioka, 2016)
 - Autocorrelated time-series: $u_t = \mathbf{x}_{t-1}$ (Hyvärinen and Morioka, 2017)
 - ...or some other observed conditioning variable (class label etc.) Hyvärinen et al. (2019); Khemakhem et al. (2020)
- Recently, structure can also be latent (unsupervised):
 - *u_t* can be hidden Markov model (Hälvä and Hyvärinen, 2020; Gassiat et al., 2020b)

- Deep generative models: $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s})$
- Adding structure can recover identifiability:
 - $p(\mathbf{s}) = \prod_{i=1}^{M} p(s_i)$ (unidentifiable)
 - $p(\mathbf{s}|u) = \prod_{i=1}^{M} p(s_i \mid u)$ (possibly identifiable)
- *u* is *observed* auxiliary variable capturing data structure:
 - Nonstationarity time-series: u_t = i, i ∈ {1,..,K} indexes non-stationary regions (Hyvärinen and Morioka, 2016)
 - Autocorrelated time-series: $u_t = \mathbf{x}_{t-1}$ (Hyvärinen and Morioka, 2017)
 - ...or some other observed conditioning variable (class label etc.) Hyvärinen et al. (2019); Khemakhem et al. (2020)
- Recently, structure can also be latent (unsupervised):
 - *u_t* can be hidden Markov model (Hälvä and Hyvärinen, 2020; Gassiat et al., 2020b)
- Q: what type of latent structures, in general, allow identifiable disentanglement?

General identifiability framework

- General identifiability framework
- Denote (**x**_t)_{t∈T} = ((x_t⁽¹⁾,...,x_t^(M)))_{t∈T} where T is a discrete indexing set of arbitrary dimension.

General identifiability framework

■ Denote (**x**_t)_{t∈T} = ((x_t⁽¹⁾,...,x_t^(M)))_{t∈T} where T is a discrete indexing set of arbitrary dimension.

► For discrete time-series: T is a subset of N.

- General identifiability framework
- Denote (**x**_t)_{t∈T} = ((x⁽¹⁾_t,...,x^(M)_{t∈T}))_{t∈T} where T is a discrete indexing set of arbitrary dimension.
 - For discrete time-series: \mathbb{T} is a subset of \mathbb{N} .
 - ► Crucially, can also be arbitrary indexing variable: e.g. subset of N² for spatial data

- General identifiability framework
- Denote (**x**_t)_{t∈T} = ((x⁽¹⁾_t,...,x^(M)_{t∈T}))_{t∈T} where T is a discrete indexing set of arbitrary dimension.
 - For discrete time-series: \mathbb{T} is a subset of \mathbb{N} .
 - ► Crucially, can also be arbitrary indexing variable: e.g. subset of N² for spatial data
- Structured nonlinear ICA (SNICA) assumptions:

- General identifiability framework
- Denote (**x**_t)_{t∈T} = ((x⁽¹⁾_t,...,x^(M)_{t∈T}))_{t∈T} where T is a discrete indexing set of arbitrary dimension.
 - For discrete time-series: \mathbb{T} is a subset of \mathbb{N} .
 - ► Crucially, can also be arbitrary indexing variable: e.g. subset of N² for spatial data
- Structured nonlinear ICA (SNICA) assumptions:
 - Weak stationarity: distributions of $\mathbf{s}_{t}^{(i)}$ and $\mathbf{s}_{t'}^{(i)}$ are the same for any $t, t' \in \mathbb{T}, \forall i$.

- General identifiability framework
- Denote (**x**_t)_{t∈T} = ((x⁽¹⁾_t,...,x^(M)_{t∈T}))_{t∈T} where T is a discrete indexing set of arbitrary dimension.
 - For discrete time-series: \mathbb{T} is a subset of \mathbb{N} .
 - ► Crucially, can also be arbitrary indexing variable: e.g. subset of N² for spatial data
- Structured nonlinear ICA (SNICA) assumptions:
 - Weak stationarity: distributions of s⁽ⁱ⁾ and s⁽ⁱ⁾_{t'} are the same for any t, t' ∈ T, ∀i.
 - Unconditional independence of components: $p(\mathbf{s}_{t_1}, \dots, \mathbf{s}_{t_m}) = \prod_{i=1}^N p(s_{t_1}^{(i)}, \dots, s_{t_m}^{(i)})$

- General identifiability framework
- Denote (**x**_t)_{t∈T} = ((x⁽¹⁾_t,...,x^(M)_{t∈T}))_{t∈T} where T is a discrete indexing set of arbitrary dimension.
 - For discrete time-series: \mathbb{T} is a subset of \mathbb{N} .
 - ► Crucially, can also be arbitrary indexing variable: e.g. subset of N² for spatial data
- Structured nonlinear ICA (SNICA) assumptions:
 - Weak stationarity: distributions of s⁽ⁱ⁾ and s⁽ⁱ⁾_{t'} are the same for any t, t' ∈ T, ∀i.

 Unconditional independence of components: p(s_{t1},..., s_{tm}) = Π^N_{i=1} p(s⁽ⁱ⁾_{t1},..., s⁽ⁱ⁾_{tm})
 x_t = f(s_t) + ε_t, where ε_t is i.i.d noise with *arbitrary* unknown

distribution; f is injective.

Structured Nonlinear ICA – Examples

Previous models can be reformulated to fit within our framework



(a) HMM modulated components c.f. (Hälvä and Hyvärinen, 2020)

(b) Temporal dependencies c.f. (Hyvärinen and Morioka, 2017)

 $1 \leq i \leq N$

 \mathbf{x}_3

Structured Nonlinear ICA – Examples

Previous models can be reformulated to fit within our framework



(a) HMM modulated components c.f. (Hälvä and Hyvärinen, 2020)



(b) Temporal dependencies c.f. (Hyvärinen and Morioka, 2017)

As well as flexible new models:



(c) New: Spatial process on a graph (with latent states u_t integrated out)

(d) New: Δ -SNICA , a linear switching dynamics model for components

 Very general identifiability results for models in SNICA framework

- Very general identifiability results for models in SNICA framework
- Theorems in two parts:

- Very general identifiability results for models in SNICA framework
- Theorems in two parts:
 - 1. Identify noise-free distribution of $\mathbf{z}_t = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}_t)$ from noisy data $\mathbf{x}_t = \mathbf{z}_t + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t$,

- Very general identifiability results for models in SNICA framework
- Theorems in two parts:
 - 1. Identify noise-free distribution of $\mathbf{z}_t = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}_t)$ from noisy data $\mathbf{x}_t = \mathbf{z}_t + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t$,
 - 2. Identify demixing (\mathbf{f}^{-1}) of the nonlinearly mixed data $\mathbf{z}_t = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}_t)$

Identify noise-free distribution of $\mathbf{z}_t = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}_t)$ from noisy data $\mathbf{x}_t = \mathbf{z}_t + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t$,

- Identify noise-free distribution of $\mathbf{z}_t = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}_t)$ from noisy data $\mathbf{x}_t = \mathbf{z}_t + \varepsilon_t$,
- Assumptions:

- Identify noise-free distribution of $\mathbf{z}_t = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}_t)$ from noisy data $\mathbf{x}_t = \mathbf{z}_t + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t$,
- Assumptions:

(A1) Tails of \mathbf{z}_t "not much" heavier than Gaussian

- Identify noise-free distribution of $\mathbf{z}_t = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}_t)$ from noisy data $\mathbf{x}_t = \mathbf{z}_t + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t$,
- Assumptions:
 - (A1) Tails of \mathbf{z}_t "not much" heavier than Gaussian
 - (A2) Non-degeneracy assumption

- Identify noise-free distribution of $\mathbf{z}_t = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}_t)$ from noisy data $\mathbf{x}_t = \mathbf{z}_t + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t$,
- Assumptions:
 - (A1) Tails of \mathbf{z}_t "not much" heavier than Gaussian
 - (A2) Non-degeneracy assumption
 - (A3) No direction of \mathbf{z}_t has Gaussian component

- Identify noise-free distribution of $\mathbf{z}_t = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}_t)$ from noisy data $\mathbf{x}_t = \mathbf{z}_t + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t$,
- Assumptions:
 - (A1) Tails of \mathbf{z}_t "not much" heavier than Gaussian
 - (A2) Non-degeneracy assumption
 - (A3) No direction of \mathbf{z}_t has Gaussian component

Theorem

Assume that assumptions (A1), (A2) and (A3) hold for some $(t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2$. Then, \mathbf{z}_t is identified, up to translation.

- Identify noise-free distribution of $\mathbf{z}_t = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}_t)$ from noisy data $\mathbf{x}_t = \mathbf{z}_t + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t$,
- Assumptions:
 - (A1) Tails of \mathbf{z}_t "not much" heavier than Gaussian
 - (A2) Non-degeneracy assumption
 - (A3) No direction of \mathbf{z}_t has Gaussian component

Theorem

Assume that assumptions (A1), (A2) and (A3) hold for some $(t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2$. Then, \mathbf{z}_t is identified, up to translation.

Noise ε can have arbitrary and unknown distribution!

- Identify noise-free distribution of $\mathbf{z}_t = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}_t)$ from noisy data $\mathbf{x}_t = \mathbf{z}_t + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t$,
- Assumptions:
 - (A1) Tails of \mathbf{z}_t "not much" heavier than Gaussian
 - (A2) Non-degeneracy assumption
 - (A3) No direction of \mathbf{z}_t has Gaussian component

Theorem

Assume that assumptions (A1), (A2) and (A3) hold for some $(t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2$. Then, \mathbf{z}_t is identified, up to translation.

- Noise ε can have arbitrary and unknown distribution!
- Very general result not limited to our model

- Identify noise-free distribution of $\mathbf{z}_t = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}_t)$ from noisy data $\mathbf{x}_t = \mathbf{z}_t + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t$,
- Assumptions:
 - (A1) Tails of \mathbf{z}_t "not much" heavier than Gaussian
 - (A2) Non-degeneracy assumption
 - (A3) No direction of \mathbf{z}_t has Gaussian component

Theorem

Assume that assumptions (A1), (A2) and (A3) hold for some $(t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2$. Then, \mathbf{z}_t is identified, up to translation.

- Noise ε can have arbitrary and unknown distribution!
- Very general result not limited to our model
- Extension of Gassiat et al. (2020b,a)

Previous theorem gets us to $\mathbf{z}_t = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}_t)$

¹Can be relaxed under other stricter conditions. See Appendix B.

- Previous theorem gets us to $\mathbf{z}_t = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}_t)$
- Next, identify demixing \mathbf{f}^{-1}

¹Can be relaxed under other stricter conditions. See Appendix B.

- Previous theorem gets us to $\mathbf{z}_t = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}_t)$
- Next, identify demixing \mathbf{f}^{-1}
- Assumptions

¹Can be relaxed under other stricter conditions. See Appendix B.

- Previous theorem gets us to $\mathbf{z}_t = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}_t)$
- Next, identify demixing \mathbf{f}^{-1}
- Assumptions
 - (B1) "Sufficient" dependency between "nearby" datapoints for each independent component

¹Can be relaxed under other stricter conditions. See Appendix B.

- Previous theorem gets us to $\mathbf{z}_t = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}_t)$
- Next, identify demixing \mathbf{f}^{-1}
- Assumptions
 - (B1) "Sufficient" dependency between "nearby" datapoints for each independent component
 - (B2)¹ Distributions of independent components are non-quasi-Gaussian (e.g. no GPs)

¹Can be relaxed under other stricter conditions. See Appendix B.

- Previous theorem gets us to $\mathbf{z}_t = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}_t)$
- Next, identify demixing \mathbf{f}^{-1}
- Assumptions
 - (B1) "Sufficient" dependency between "nearby" datapoints for each independent component
 - (B2)¹ Distributions of independent components are non-quasi-Gaussian (e.g. no GPs)

Theorem

Assume that assumptions (B1) and (B2) hold, then, \mathbf{f}^{-1} can be recovered up to permutation and coordinate-wise transformations from the distribution of $(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}_{t_1}), \ldots, \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}_{t_m}))$

¹Can be relaxed under other stricter conditions. See Appendix B.

Each independent component follows Switching Linear Dynamical System. For all i = 1, ..., N:

$$\mathbf{y}_t^{(i)} = \mathbf{B}_{u_t}^{(i)} \mathbf{y}_{t-1}^{(i)} + \mathbf{b}_{u_t}^{(i)} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{u_t}^{(i)}, \qquad (1)$$

where $u_t := u_t^{(i)}$ is a state of a 1st-order hidden Markov chain, and where the first elements $\mathbf{y}_t^{(i)} = (s_t^{(i)}, \dots, y_{t,d}^{(i)})^T$, is the ind. comp.

Each independent component follows Switching Linear Dynamical System. For all i = 1, ..., N:

$$\mathbf{y}_{t}^{(i)} = \mathbf{B}_{u_{t}}^{(i)} \mathbf{y}_{t-1}^{(i)} + \mathbf{b}_{u_{t}}^{(i)} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{u_{t}}^{(i)}, \qquad (1)$$

where $u_t := u_t^{(i)}$ is a state of a 1st-order hidden Markov chain, and where the first elements $\mathbf{y}_t^{(i)} = (s_t^{(i)}, \dots, y_{t,d}^{(i)})^T$, is the ind. comp. $\mathbf{x}_t = \mathbf{f}(s_t^{(1)}, \dots, s_t^{(N)}) + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t$ where output noise allows dimensionality reduction

Each independent component follows Switching Linear Dynamical System. For all i = 1, ..., N:

$$\mathbf{y}_{t}^{(i)} = \mathbf{B}_{u_{t}}^{(i)} \mathbf{y}_{t-1}^{(i)} + \mathbf{b}_{u_{t}}^{(i)} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{u_{t}}^{(i)}, \qquad (1)$$

where $u_t := u_t^{(i)}$ is a state of a 1st-order hidden Markov chain, and where the first elements $\mathbf{y}_t^{(i)} = (s_t^{(i)}, \dots, y_{t,d}^{(i)})^T$, is the ind. comp.

$$\mathbf{x}_t = \mathbf{f}(s_t^{(1)}, \dots, s_t^{(N)}) + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t$$

where output noise allows dimensionality reduction

• Accounts for useful properties: autocorrelation, non-stationarity, dimension reduction, and measurement noise.

Each independent component follows Switching Linear Dynamical System. For all i = 1, ..., N:

$$\mathbf{y}_{t}^{(i)} = \mathbf{B}_{u_{t}}^{(i)} \mathbf{y}_{t-1}^{(i)} + \mathbf{b}_{u_{t}}^{(i)} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{u_{t}}^{(i)}, \qquad (1)$$

where $u_t := u_t^{(i)}$ is a state of a 1st-order hidden Markov chain, and where the first elements $\mathbf{y}_t^{(i)} = (s_t^{(i)}, \dots, y_{t,d}^{(i)})^T$, is the ind. comp.

$$\mathbf{x}_t = \mathbf{f}(s_t^{(1)}, \dots, s_t^{(N)}) + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t$$

where output noise allows dimensionality reduction

- Accounts for useful properties: autocorrelation, non-stationarity, dimension reduction, and measurement noise.
- Nonlinear ICA for video, audio, financial, brain signal data etc.?

Experiments

Estimate Δ -SNICA with variational inference (Structured VAE)



iVAE*: identifiable VAE with ground-truth HMM state as auxiliary variable

Experiments

- Estimate Δ -SNICA with variational inference (Structured VAE)
- Simulated data (LHS): Measure identifiability correlation between estimated and true independent components



Experiments

- Estimate Δ -SNICA with variational inference (Structured VAE)
- Simulated data (LHS): Measure identifiability correlation between estimated and true independent components
- MEG data (RHS) feature extraction and classification of stimulus categories:



General theoretical identifiability framework

- General theoretical identifiability framework
- Principled disentanglement in structured models by Nonlinear ICA

- General theoretical identifiability framework
- Principled disentanglement in structured models by Nonlinear ICA
- Identifiable deconvolution even when output noise is arbitrary and unknown

- General theoretical identifiability framework
- Principled disentanglement in structured models by Nonlinear ICA
- Identifiable deconvolution even when output noise is arbitrary and unknown
- Δ -SNICA allows for rich temporal dynamics

- General theoretical identifiability framework
- Principled disentanglement in structured models by Nonlinear ICA
- Identifiable deconvolution even when output noise is arbitrary and unknown
- Δ -SNICA allows for rich temporal dynamics
- Multiple new models can be developed e.g. spatial/image data

- General theoretical identifiability framework
- Principled disentanglement in structured models by Nonlinear ICA
- Identifiable deconvolution even when output noise is arbitrary and unknown
- Δ -SNICA allows for rich temporal dynamics
- Multiple new models can be developed e.g. spatial/image data
- Future theoretical work needed for: heavy tails, non-additive output noise, noise that's not independent of the signal.

References

- Gassiat, E., Le Corff, S., and Lehéricy, L. (2020a). Deconvolution with unknown noise distribution is possible for multivariate signals. *arXiv:2006.14226*.
- Gassiat, E., Le Corff, S., and Lehéricy, L. (2020b). Identifiability and consistent estimation of nonparametric translation hidden markov models with general state space. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 21(115):1–40.
- Hälvä, H. and Hyvärinen, A. (2020). Hidden Markov nonlinear ICA: Unsupervised learning from nonstationary time series. In *Proc. 36th Conf. on Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence (UAI2020)*, Toronto, Canada (virtual).
- Hyvärinen, A. and Morioka, H. (2016). Unsupervised feature extraction by time-contrastive learning and nonlinear ICA. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS2016)*, Barcelona, Spain.
- Hyvärinen, A. and Morioka, H. (2017). Nonlinear ICA of temporally dependent stationary sources. In *Proc. Artificial Intelligence and Statistics (AISTATS2017)*, Fort Lauderdale, Florida.