



institute of neuroinformatics

Credit Assignment in Neural Networks through Deep Feedback Control

Alexander Meulemans^{*}, Matilde Tristany Farinha^{*}, Javier García Ordóñez, Pau Vilimelis Aceituno, João Sacramento, Benjamin F. Grewe



Credit assignment (CA)

" How does the strength of a synapse need to be changed to improve the system's global behaviour?"

Spatial Credit Assignment



Yamins & Dicarlo 2016



Spatial credit assignment: Backpropagation



Some biological issues:

- 1. Weight transport
- 2. Feedback does not influence neuron activations only synaptic strength

Research questions

"Is principled **credit assignment without strict alignment** between the feedback path and feedforward path possible?"

"Can we use **feedback** not only **to learn** the synapses but also to change the **neural activations**?"

Outline

Part I: The intuition behind Deep Feedback Control (DFC)
Part II: Theoretical analysis of DFC
Part III: Learning the feedback weights for DFC
Part VI: Simulation results

Deep Feedback Control (DFC): intuition



Gilra & Gerstner 2017 Alemi et al. 2017

Deep Feedback Control: dynamics



Network dynamics: $au_v rac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \mathbf{v}_i(t) = -\mathbf{v}_i(t) + W_i \phiig(\mathbf{v}_{i-1}(t)ig) \mathbf{r}_i = \phi(\mathbf{v}_i)$

Steady state:

 $\mathbf{r}_{i, ext{ss}} = \phi(W_i \mathbf{r}_{i-1, ext{ss}})$

Deep Feedback Control: dynamics



Network dynamics: $au_v rac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \mathbf{v}_i(t) = -\mathbf{v}_i(t) + W_i \phi ig(\mathbf{v}_{i-1}(t) ig) + Q_i \mathbf{u}(t)$ $\mathbf{r}_i = \phi(\mathbf{v}_i)$

Deep Feedback Control: controller



Network dynamics: $\tau_{v} \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{v}_{i}(t) = -\mathbf{v}_{i}(t) + W_{i} \phi(\mathbf{v}_{i-1}(t)) + Q_{i} \mathbf{u}(t)$ $\mathbf{r}_{i} = \phi(\mathbf{v}_{i})$ Proportional-Integral control

 $\mathbf{u}(t) = K_I \mathbf{u}^{ ext{int}}(t) + K_P \mathbf{e}(t), \quad au_u rac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \mathbf{u}^{ ext{int}}(t) = \mathbf{e}(t) - lpha \mathbf{u}^{ ext{int}}(t)$

- Feedback strongly changes the neural activity
- Can this setting also be used for learning?

Deep Feedback Control: plasticity



$$\mathbf{r}_L(t) = \phiig(\mathbf{v}_L(t)ig)$$

With an active controller, the network settles to the desired output target: $\mathbf{r}_L(t) \longrightarrow \mathbf{r}_L^*$

Steady-state hidden layer activations can be seen as *"hidden targets"*

Difference between hidden targets and initial (feedforward) activations can be used as a learning signal

Deep Feedback Control: plasticity



$$\tau_W \frac{d}{dt} W_i(t) = \left(\phi(\mathbf{v}_i(t)) - \phi(\mathbf{v}_i^{\mathrm{ff}}(t)) \right) \mathbf{r}_{i-1}(t)^T$$

local in space and time

Summary part I



- DFC uses a feedback controller to push the network to a desired output target
- The changed neural activations are used for credit assignment
- Using a multi-compartment neuron makes the learning local in time and space

Outline

Part I: The intuition behind Deep Feedback Control (DFC) Part II: Theoretical analysis of DFC

- Does DFC perform principled credit assignment?
- Under which conditions is DFC stable?

Part III: Learning the feedback weights for DFC **Part VI:** Simulation results

DFC approximates Gauss-Newton (GN) optimization



Minimum norm updates: intuition



- Controller pushes the network to its output target
- Many possible configurations of the network reach exactly the output target

... Which configuration is the best?



$$\operatorname{Col}(Q) = \operatorname{Col}(J^T)$$

Simplified dynamics (linearized + separation of timescales):

$$au_u rac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \mathbf{u}(t) = -JQ \mathbf{u}(t) + oldsymbol{\delta}_L$$

$$\Box
angle$$
 Local stability if $iggl(\lambda_{ ext{max}}(-JQ) < 0$

Flexibility of the feedback path

Optimal credit assignment when

$$\operatorname{Col}(Q) = \operatorname{Col}(J^T)$$

$$\lambda_{ ext{max}}(-JQ) < lpha$$



```
Summary part II
```

DFC can do principled credit assignment without the need for strict alignment

$$\operatorname{Col}(Q) = \operatorname{Col}(J^T)$$

$$iggl\{ \lambda_{ ext{max}}(-JQ) < lpha iggr\}$$

... How to make sure the flexible conditions are satisfied?

Learning the feedback weights in DFC



Add noise to dynamics:

$$\tau_v \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{v}_i}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\mathbf{v}_i + W_i \phi(\mathbf{v}_{i-1}) + Q_i \mathbf{u} + \sigma \boldsymbol{\xi}_i$$

Feedback plasticity rule:

 $\tau_Q \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} Q_i(t) = -\mathbf{v}_i^{\mathrm{fb}}(t) \mathbf{u}(t)^T - \beta Q_i \quad \Box > \text{Anti-Hebbian}$

Feedback weights align with:

 $\mathbb{E}[Q_{\rm ss}] \stackrel{\propto}{\sim} J^T (JJ^T + \gamma I)^{-1}$

 \Rightarrow Satisfies column space condition \Rightarrow Satisfies stability condition

Outline

Part I: The intuition behind Deep Feedback Control (DFC) **Part II:** Theoretical analysis of DFC

- Does DFC perform principled credit assignment?
- Under which conditions is DFC stable?

Part III: Learning the feedback weights for DFC Part VI: Simulation results



Computer vision experiments

	MNIST	Fashion-MNIST	MNIST autoencoder	MNIST (train loss)
BP	$2.08^{\pm 0.15}\%$	$10.60^{\pm 0.34}\%$	$9.42^{\pm 0.09} \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.53^{\pm 0.19} \cdot 10^{-7}$
DFC DFC (fixed) DFA	$2.25^{\pm 0.094}\%\ 2.47^{\pm 0.12}\%\ 2.69^{\pm 0.11}\%$	$11.17^{\pm 0.27}\%\ 11.62^{\pm 0.30}\%\ 11.38^{\pm 0.25}\%$	$\begin{array}{c} 11.28^{\pm0.18}\cdot10^{-2}\\ 33.37^{\pm0.60}\cdot10^{-2}\\ 29.95^{\pm0.36}\cdot10^{-2}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7.61^{\pm0.65}\cdot10^{-8}\\ 1.30^{\pm0.15}\cdot10^{-6}\\ 7.09^{\pm1.11}\cdot10^{-7}\end{array}$

Conclusion

- DFC uses a feedback controller to drive the network to a desired output target
- Learning rule local in time and space
- Optimal credit assignment without the need for strict alignment
- Intimate connection with cortical pyramidal neurons

Thank you!



Javier García Ordóñez



Pau Vilimelis Aceituno



João Sacramento



Benjamin Grewe





HFSP Grantational Human Frontier Science Program



Contact: ameulema@ethz.ch

paper

