## The Inductive Bias of Quantum Kernels

Jonas M. Kübler\*, Simon Buchholz\*, Bernhard Schölkopf

\*equal contribution





(日) (部) (注) (注)

## Quantum Methods in ML

- Quantum computers operate with exponentially large Hilbert spaces.
- ▶ Older work: Use QC to speed-up linear algebra routines.<sup>12</sup>
- More recent: Use QC to define the function class (Quantum Neural Network or Quantum Kernel)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Aram W Harrow, Avinatan Hassidim, and Seth Lloyd *Quantum algorithm for linear systems of equations*, Physical Review Letters, 103(15), 2009.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Carlo Ciliberto, Andrea Rocchetto, Alessandro Rudi, and Leonard Wossnig. *Statistical limits of supervised quantum learning*, Physical Review A, 102(4), 2020.

## Main Messages

- No free quantum-lunch: A model that can represent exponentially many functions, and does not a priori favor few, requires exponentially large training sets.
- Prior knowledge helps: We can reduce the search space with prior knowledge. If we can encode this quantum-mechanically but not classically, we are on track for q-advantage.
- Don't forget to measure: Any q-advantage is lost if the required accuracy of estimates is exponential.



# Quantum Kernels

Description of a *d*-qubit state via *density matrix*  $\rho$  ( $2^d \times 2^d$  hermitian matrix).

#### Definition (Quantum Kernel)

Let  $\rho : x \mapsto \rho(x)$  be a fixed feature mapping from  $\mathcal{X}$  to density matrices. Then the corresponding *quantum kernel* is  $k(x, x') = \operatorname{Tr} [\rho(x)\rho(x')].$ 

- Computes an inner product in an exponentially large space.
- ► Has to be estimated from measurement.
- The feature map is *fixed* independently of the data. (But hopefully well chosen for the problem).
- We can learn functions like  $f_M(x) = \text{Tr}[\rho(x)M]$ .



# An Example Kernel

 $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^d$ .

▶ Dimension d = 1

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(x)\rangle &= R_X(x)|0\rangle \\ &= \cos(x/2)|0\rangle + i\sin(x/2)|1\rangle \\ \rho(x) &= |\psi(x)\langle\psi(x)| \\ k(x,x') &= \operatorname{Tr}\left[\rho(x)\rho(x')\right] = \cos(\frac{x-x'}{2})^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} |0\rangle & -\overline{R_X(x_1)} \\ |0\rangle & -\overline{R_X(x_2)} \\ & \ddots & \ddots \\ |0\rangle & -\overline{R_X(x_d)} \end{array} \end{array} V \end{array} \right\} \rho^V(x)$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

▶ Dimension  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$k(x,x') = \prod \cos^2\left(\frac{x_i - x_i'}{2}\right)$$

This kernel is also classically feasible.



NeurIPS 2021

#### Example (Trivial Quantum Advantage)

Let f be a scalar function that is easily computable on a quantum device but requires exponential resources to approximate classically. Generate data as  $Y = f(X) + \epsilon$ . The kernel k(x, x') = f(x)f(x') then has an exponential advantage for learning f from data compared to classical kernels.

A more rigorous version of this can be found in: Liu et al. *A rigorous and robust quantum speed-up in supervised machine learning*, Nature Physics 17, 1013–1017 (2021).



## Overview setting and approach

- Kernel ridge regression (KRR) for  $Y = f(X) + \varepsilon$
- When is learning with KRR easy? Depends on ...
  - ... the target function f.
  - ... the marginal distribution of X, called  $\mu$ .
  - ... the kernel k.
- We use spectral techniques (Mercer decomposition) to understand learning performance
- ▶ Diversity of quantum embedding  $x \to \rho(x)$  measured by purity Tr  $\left[\rho_{\mu}^{2}\right]$  of mean embedding  $\rho_{\mu} = \int \rho(x) \mu(dx)$



## Main Message: No free quantum-lunch

If the encoding exhaust the whole quantum Hilbert space, i.e., when the purity of mean encoding  $\rho_{\mu}$  decays exponentially, we need exponentially many datapoints.



Fact: Exponential decay happens for many generic  $x \rightarrow \rho(x)$ 



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

NeurIPS 2021

#### Projected or Biased Quantum Kernels

Idea: define a kernel on a smaller dimensional subspace than the whole quantum Hilbert space.<sup>3</sup>



Data is generated via a).

$$f(x) = \operatorname{Tr}\left[
ho^V(x)(M \otimes \operatorname{id})
ight] = \operatorname{Tr}\left[
ho^V(x)M
ight]$$

• Define the biased kernel  $q(x, x') = \text{Tr} \left[ \tilde{\rho}^V(x) \tilde{\rho}^V(x') \right]$ 



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Huang, HY., Broughton, M., Mohseni, M. et al. Power of data in quantum machine learning. Nat Commun 12, 2631 (2021)

#### Experiments





NeurIPS 2021



æ

### Main Message: Prior knowledge helps

We can reduce the search space with prior knowledge - "how was the problem generated?"



## Quantum Advantage?



Are such biased kernels a path to quantum advantages?

- ▶ No: So far we ignored the estimation of the quantum kernels.
- Problem: Biased kernels are exponentially close to constant!
  - Why? Because  $\tilde{\rho}^V$  is highly mixed.
  - Requires exponentially many measurements to extract the important information



▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ↓

#### Main Message: Don't forget to measure

- Generally it is not sufficient to measure an outcome to error ε, where ε is "something small".
- If the required error is exponentially small, we cannot harvest a q-advantage.



Thank you!







イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

NeurIPS 2021