

Efficient Aggregated Kernel Tests using Incomplete U -statistics

*Antonin Schrab, Ilmun Kim,
Benjamin Guedj, Arthur Gretton*



UNIVERSITY COLLEGE LONDON
INRIA LONDON
YONSEI UNIVERSITY

Three unified testing frameworks:

- Two-sample testing
 - Given samples $X_1, \dots, X_N \sim p$ & $Y_1, \dots, Y_N \sim q$, is $p = q$?
 - **MMD**: Maximum Mean Discrepancy $\mathbb{E}[h_k^{\text{MMD}}]$
- Independence testing
 - Given pairs of samples $(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_N, Y_N) \sim r$, is $r = p \otimes q$?
 - **HSIC**: Hilbert Schmidt Independence Criterion $\mathbb{E}[h_{k,\ell}^{\text{HSIC}}]$
- Goodness-of-fit testing
 - Given a model score $\nabla \log p(\cdot)$ & $Y_1, \dots, Y_N \sim q$, is $p = q$?
 - **KSD**: Kernel Stein Discrepancy $\mathbb{E}[h_k^{\text{KSD}}]$

Adaptive tests: aggregation over a large collection of kernels without paying a significant cost in power

Efficient tests: based on incomplete U -statistics to estimate $\mathbb{E}[h_k]$ by

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}_N|} \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{D}_N} h_k(Z_i, Z_j), \quad \mathcal{D}_N \subseteq \{(i,j)\}_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq N^2}$$

Power guarantees

Sobolev ball: function space with unknown smoothness parameter s

Regularity assumption:

- **MMDAggInc:** $p - q$ lies in a Sobolev ball
- **HSICAggInc:** $r - p \otimes q$ lies in a Sobolev ball

Uniform separation rates: high test power is guaranteed for

- **MMDAggInc** when $\|p - q\|_2$ is larger than:
- **HSICAggInc** when $\|r - p \otimes q\|_2$ is larger than:

$$\left(\frac{|\mathcal{D}_N|/N}{\ln(\ln(|\mathcal{D}_N|/N))} \right)^{-2s/(4s+d)}$$

KSDAggInc: general power guarantees with no regularity assumption

Uniform separation rate analysis

$$\left(\frac{|\mathcal{D}_N|/N}{\ln(\ln(|\mathcal{D}_N|/N))} \right)^{-2s/(4s+d)} .$$

- Regime $|\mathcal{D}_N| \asymp N^2$:
 - quadratic-time test
 - uniform separation rate $\left(\frac{N}{\ln \ln N} \right)^{-2s/(4s+d)} .$
 - minimax rate over Sobolev balls, up to $\ln \ln N$ term
 - adaptive to unknown smoothness parameter s of Sobolev ball
- Regime $N \lesssim |\mathcal{D}_N| \lesssim N^2$:
 - trade-off between efficiency and rate of convergence
 - incur cost $(N^2/|\mathcal{D}_N|)^{2s/(4s+d)}$ in the minimax rate
 - rate deteriorates from quadratic (minimax) to linear (no guarantee)
- Regime $|\mathcal{D}_N| \lesssim N$:
 - no guarantee that rate converges to 0

AggInc: summary

- **Time complexity:** chosen by the user (trade-off with power)
- **Experiments:** consider linear-time AggInc tests
- **MMDAggInc & HSICAggInc:** outperform the current two-sample and independence state-of-the-art linear-time tests
- **KSDAggInc:** matches the power obtained by the linear-time state-of-the-art Cauchy RFF test of **Huggins and Mackey** Huggins and Mackey. **Random feature Stein discrepancies**. NeurIPS 2018.
- **Aggregated quadratic-time tests:**
 - MMDAgg:** Schrab et al. **MMD Aggregated Two-sample Test**. 2021.
 - HSICAgg:** Albert et al. **Adaptive test of independence based on HSIC measures**. The Annals of Statistics 2022.
 - KSDAgg:** Schrab et al. **KSD Aggregated Goodness-of-fit Test**. NeurIPS 2022.